,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/816,004	SUZÚOKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph R. Maniwang	2144
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. This communication is responsive to <u>01/16/07</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1 and 28-45</u> .		
 3.		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s)	e - Norman	and Data A Amplication
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	<u> </u>	rmal Patent Application
	6. ☐ Interview Sun Paper No./M	lail Date
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date <u>01/16/07</u> 		mendment/Comment
 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	8. ⊠ Examiner's S 9. □ Other	tatement of Reasons for Allowance
		WILLIAM VAUGHN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 01/16/07 was in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement was considered by the Examiner.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1 and 28-45 are allowed.
- 3. Regarding claims 28-45, Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance have been presented in a previous Office Action.
- 4. Regarding claim 1, the following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- 5. The closest prior art is Breslau et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,421,736). Breslau disclosed a method and system comprising a plurality of processors connected to said network, each of said processors comprising a plurality of first processing units having the same instruction set architecture and a second processing unit for controlling said first processing units (see column 4, line 35 through column 5, line 20), said first processing units being operable to process software cells comprising a program compatible with said instruction set architecture (see column 1, lines 35-40), data associated with said program (see column 1, lines 42-50), information for routing said software cell over said network (see column 8, lines 4-54), and an identification number

Application/Control Number: 09/816,004 Page 3

Art Unit: 2144

uniquely identifying said software cell among all of said software cells being transmitted over said network (see column 1, lines 61-66; column 2, lines 38-48).

- The prior art references of record do not teach alone or in combination all the limitations together within independent claim 1. For example, independent claim 1 contains the limitation wherein each said processor further includes a main memory, said main memory including a plurality of banks, each said bank including a plurality of blocks, each said block being the lowest addressable unit of said main memory and having an associated memory space in said main memory for storing information regarding the status of data stored in said block, an identification for a first processing unit and an address of local memory associated with said first processing unit. Breslau does not teach such a limitation, and therefore claim 1 has allowable subject matter over the prior art of record.
- Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments, filed 01/08/07, with respect to claim 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claim has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Becker, "PlayStation 3 Cell chip aims high", CNET News.com, Feb 04, 2005.

Hoefler, "The Cell Processor: A short Introduction", Nov 28, 2005.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph R. Maniwang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3928. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William C. Vaughn can be reached on (571) 272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100